PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/014074		International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.12.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01N30/82					
Applicant AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES AB					
2.	Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 86.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Nam	ne and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Zinngrebe, U

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3347



10/582443

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014074

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 08 JUN 2006

_	Box	lo. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	l	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With neces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. typ	a. type of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	nat of material:				
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. tim	of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	0	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	ľ	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppopriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-5,7-10

No: Claims

6

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/582443

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 08 JUN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014074

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/052701 A1 (GORENSTEIN MARC V) 2 May 2002 (2002-05-02)

D2: US 2003/183565 A1 (MICHEL JONATHAN D ET AL) 2 October 2003 (2003-

10-02)

D3: US 4 546 643 A (BONNEYRAT ET AL) 15 October 1985 (1985-10-15)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An automated chromatography system [127-139], comprising (fig. 17):

- * a chromatography column (174),
- * a plurality of valves (172, within 178) under computer (184) control [132],
- * a pump (170),
- * a detector (176) able to produce an output signal representing the composition of a fluid passing through the detector,
- * a computer (184) provided with and adapted to run software for controlling said valves, pump and detector [132],
- * said software processing the output signal of said detector to identify two signal parameters, i.e. first and second derivative [103].

This automated chromatography system can be used for the analysis of proteins.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known D1 in a) at least one loop for the storage of fluid;

b) a plurality of chromatography columns.

These features are well known in chromatography, especially also in the state of the art cited by the applicant (p. 6 l. 15-22), and have no influence on the peak analysis of the fraction collector. Therefore these features are obvious design options for the skilled person.

All the features of claim 1 being known or obvious to the skilled person, this claim does not involve an inventive step.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 6

3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 6 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 6 and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

Software for controlling an automated chromatography system [127-139], comprising (fig. 17):

- * a detector (176) able to produce an output signal,
- * processing the output signal of said detector to identify two signal parameters, first and second derivative [103].

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5,7-10

Dependent claims 2-5,7-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

5 NOTA

The present application also does not meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT) when considering D2 or D3 (see passages cited in the search report).